



ADAPTATION FUND

AFB/PPRC.33/1/Add.1  
26 March 2024

---

Adaptation Fund Board  
Project and Programme Review Committee  
Thirty third Meeting  
Bonn, Germany, 16-17 April 2024

Agenda Item 5l)

**PROJECT FORMULATION GRANT FOR  
MEXICO**

## I. Background

1. The Board at its eleventh meeting discussed the document "Funding for Project Formulation Costs" (AFB/11/6) and agreed, in its Decision B.11/18, that:

- i. project formulation grants (PFG) should be given once a project concept has been approved
- ii. consideration should be given in terms of differentiating between NIEs and MIEs, since some NIEs might have financial difficulties in trying to formulate project or programme proposals;
- iii. a flat rate should be given for project formulation costs;
- iv. a list of eligible activities and items still needed to be prepared; v. the grant should be additional to the project cost; and
- v. the fate of funds if the final project document was rejected should be determined.

2. There was consensus that a three-tiered system should be considered for project formulation grants: endorse a project concept with a PFG amount, endorse a project concept without a PFG amount, or reject the project concept.

3. Following the discussion, the Board decided:

To request the secretariat to reformulate the document, to include a comparison of eligible activities provided by other funds for project formulation grants, to take into account guidance provided by the Board at the present meeting, and to submit the document to the Board at its twelfth meeting, through the EFC. The EFC should review and finalize the process and policy of the project formulation grant focusing, in particular, on: the issue of unspent project funds; the procedures followed by other funds in that regard; and the determination of a flat-rate.

4. A document was prepared by the secretariat in response to the above mandate and presented at the third EFC meeting, which made specific recommendations to the Board at its twelfth meeting. Having considered the recommendation of the Ethics and Finance Committee, the Board, in its Decision B.12/28, decided that:

*(a) Project Formulation Grants (PFGs) will only be made available for projects submitted through NIEs. The Board would continue reviewing the question of PFGs for projects submitted through MIEs and would solicit comments from members and alternate members by February 14, 2011; the views would be compiled by the secretariat for presentation to the Board at its March 2011 meeting;*

*(b) If a country required a project formulation grant, a request should be made at the same time as the submission of a project concept to the secretariat. The secretariat will review and forward it to the PPRC for a final recommendation to the Board. A PFG could only be awarded when a project concept was presented and endorsed;*

*(c) A PFG form, reproduced in Annex V, should be submitted;*

- (d) Only activities related to country costs would be eligible for PFG funding;*
- (e) A flat rate of up to US\$30,000 shall be provided, inclusive of the management fee, which cannot exceed 8.5 per cent of the grant amount. The flat fee would be reviewed by the Board at its thirteenth and all subsequent meetings;*
- (f) If the final project document is rejected, any unused funds shall be returned to the Adaptation Fund Trust Fund;*
- (g) Once a project/programme formulation grant is disbursed, a fully developed project document should come to the Board for approval within 12 months. No additional grants for project preparation can be received by a country until the fully developed project/programme document has been submitted to the Board; and*
- (h) The Trustee was instructed to remove the set-aside of US\$100,000 for project preparation that had been decided at the June 2010 meeting, as project preparation would be approved on a project-by-project basis.*

5. In its twenty-fourth meeting, the Board had initiated steps to launch a pilot programme on regional projects and programmes, not to exceed US\$ 30 million and had requested the secretariat to prepare for the consideration of the Board a proposal for such a pilot programme (Decision B.24/30). In its twenty-fifth meeting, the secretariat submitted such document and the Board decided to:

- (a) Approve the pilot programme on regional projects and programmes, as contained in document AFB/B.25/6/Rev.2;*
- (b) Set a cap of US\$ 30 million for the programme;*
- (c) Request the secretariat to issue a call for regional project and programme proposals for consideration by the Board in its twenty-sixth meeting; and*
- (d) Request the secretariat to continue discussions with the Climate Technology Center and Network (CTCN) towards operationalizing, during the implementation of the pilot programme on regional projects and programmes, the Synergy Option 2 on knowledge management proposed by CTCN and included in Annex III of the document AFB/B.25/6/Rev.2.*

*(Decision B.25/28)*

6. The approved document AFB/B.25/6/Rev.2 contained provisions for the approval of project formulated grants for regional project and programme proposals, at different development stages, as follows:

*“It is proposed that the Board open a structured call for MIEs and RIEs to submit pre-concepts for regional projects and programmes. The optional pre-concepts would be very brief proposals of maximum 5 pages that would explain the proposed regional adaptation project/programme. The pre-concepts would be screened and technically reviewed by the*

*secretariat, and subsequently reviewed by the PPRC. Together with the pre-concept, the proponent could submit a Phase I PFG request, up to the maximum level of US\$ 20,000. While endorsing the pre-concept, the Board could also approve the Phase I PFG request. The endorsement of the pre-concept would not create an obligation for the Board for later funding. As the next step, the proponent would submit a concept, and with it the proponent could submit a Phase II PFG request. The maximum AFB/PPRC.18/25/Add.1 level of the Phase II PFG would be US\$ 80,000 for proposals that had been previously granted Phase I PFG, and US\$ 100,000 for proposals that bypassed the optional pre-concept stage. While endorsing the concept, the Board could also approve the Phase II PFG request. The endorsement of the concept would not create an obligation for the Board for later funding, as it is the case for the national projects. The final stage of the proposal process would be the submission of the fully-developed regional project document”.*

## **II. The Project Formulation Grant Request**

7. This addendum to the document AFB/PPRC.33.15 “Proposal for Mexico” includes a request for a Project Formulation Grant, requesting a budget of US\$ 30,000, which was received by the secretariat along with the concept for the project AF00000328. This proposal was submitted by Mexican Institute of Water Technology (IMTA) for consideration by the Adaptation Fund Board at its Forty-second Board meeting.

8. In accordance with Decision B.12/28, paragraph (b), the secretariat carried out an initial review of the PFG request and found that the document provided detailed information on the use of the requested funds. The proposed activities were aligned with the goal of the project and would include: i) Stakeholders’ consultation at local and regional levels; ii) Technical consultancy; iii) Demographic analysis and financial consultation; iv) Economic consultancy; and v) Full project document preparation.

9. Therefore, the PPRC may want to consider and recommend to the Board to approve the PFG Request, provided that the related concept proposal is endorsed.



**Project Formulation Grant (PFG)**

Submission Date: August 18<sup>th</sup>, 2023

Adaptation Fund Project ID: AF00000328

Country/ies: Mexico

Title of Project/Programme: Ha Ta Tukari, "Water our Life": Towards universal drinking water coverage for 21 communities of the Wixarika Nation

Type of IE (NIE/MIE): NIE

Implementing Entity: Instituto Mexicano de Tecnología del Agua

Executing Entity/ies: Lluvia Para Todos, A.C.

**A. Project Preparation Timeframe**

Start date of PFG	May 2024
Completion date of PFG	November 2024

**B. Proposed Project Preparation Activities (\$)**

Describe the PFG activities and justifications:


List of Proposed Project Preparation Activities	Output of the PFG Activities	USD Amount
<p><b>Stakeholder consultancy</b> Inclusion of all existing interested parties for project presentation and preparation according to each parties' roles, analysis and inclusion of potential new collaborators, and correct integration of all stakeholders at a local and regional level. This includes a planification of security protocols through the integration of relevant stakeholders (National Guard, State Police) given the recent increase of cartel violence in the area.</p>	Stakeholders' inclusion and participation	\$8,000
<p><b>Technical consultancy</b> Improvement of technical capacity for the project's scaling (improving the manufacturing processes of geomembrane cisterns),</p>	Technical adaptation of proposed eco-technologies and improvement of local capacity	\$10,500

<p>planification for the transportation of materials and people to the Sierra (transport, storage and accommodation), and enhancement of local technical capacity for RWH systems installation and hydrological and socio/ecological management practices performance of the landscape</p>		
<p><b>Demographic analysis and financial consultancy</b> Thorough analysis of demographics in the localities of San Andrés Cohamiata and gender analysis. Determination of the budget in terms of potential resulting changes in the activities (number of RWH systems, for example) and the resources needed for the project (human resources, facilities, etc.)</p>	Demographic and gender analysis and financial plan	\$6,500
<p><b>Economic consultancy</b> Analysis of the project through a cost-benefit point of view, as well as the economic outcome of the project at the local level. Integration of new practices relevant to the new tax and NGO regulations.</p>	Cost-benefit analysis and economic evaluation	\$3,000
<p><b>Cartography and participatory mapping of the territory</b> In-depth review of the background of cartographic data and land management in the region, review of literature on hydrological management and failed projects carried out in recent years. Presentation of a document with the results of the analysis of the information. Integration of local information using participatory methodologies.</p>	Demographic, cartographic and land management analysis in the Sierra Wixarika.	\$10,000
<b>Proposal preparation</b>	Final proposal	\$12,000

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Integration of the information generated from the different analyses</li> <li>- Drafting of the final proposal</li> <li>- Preparation of main document and annexes.</li> <li>- Translations (Wixa-Spanish and Spanish-English)</li> </ul>		
<b>Total Project Formulation Grant</b>		\$50,000

### C. Implementing Entity

This request has been prepared in accordance with the Adaptation Fund Board's procedures and meets the Adaptation Fund's criteria for project identification and formulation

Implementing Entity Coordinator, IE Name	Signature	Date (Month, day, year)	Project Contact Person	Telephone	Email Address
Dr. Adrián Pedrozo Acuña Instituto Mexicano de Tecnología del Agua		August 18 <sup>th</sup> , 2023	Enrique Lomnitz Climent	+52 55 41885382	enrique@islaurbana.org





ADAPTATION FUND



**SHCP**

SECRETARÍA DE  
HACIENDA Y  
CRÉDITO PÚBLICO

**Letter of Endorsement by the Government of Mexico  
Ministry of Finance and Public Credit**

**August 18<sup>th</sup>, 2023**

To: The Adaptation Fund Board  
c/o Adaptation Fund Board Secretariat  
Email: [afbsec@adaptation-fund.org](mailto:afbsec@adaptation-fund.org)  
Fax: +1 202 522 3240/5

Subject: Endorsement for Project **Ha Ta Tukari, "Water our Life": Towards Universal Drinking Water Coverage for 21 Communities of the Wixarika Nation**. In my capacity as National Designated Authority (NDA) for the Adaptation Fund in Mexico, I confirm that the above national project proposal is in accordance with the government's national priorities in implementing adaptation activities to reduce adverse impacts of, and risks, posed by climate change in Mexico.

Accordingly, I am pleased to endorse the above project proposal with support from the Adaptation Fund. If approved, the project will be implemented by the Mexican Institute of Water Technology (IMTA) and executed by **Lluvia para Todos A.C.**

Likewise, let me inform you that in case the Board approves the project, I will be working closely with the IMTA during the project design and implementation process, as NDA for the Adaption Fund in Mexico.

Sincerely,

Laura Elisa Aguirre Téllez  
Director General for International Fora and  
Sustainable Financing  
Ministry of Finance and Public Credit  
(Public Credit and International Affairs Unit)  
+52 55 3688 1873  
[laura\\_aguirre@hacienda.gob.mx](mailto:laura_aguirre@hacienda.gob.mx)