



ADAPTATION FUND

AFB/PPRC.29-30/4
20 June 2022

Adaptation Fund Board
Project and Programme Review Committee

**REPORT OF THE SECRETARIAT ON INITIAL
SCREENING/TECHNICAL REVIEW
OF PROJECT AND PROGRAMME PROPOSALS**

Background

1. This document presents to the Project and Programme Review Committee (PPRC) of the Adaptation Fund Board (the Board) an overview of the project/programme proposals submitted by Implementing Entities (IEs) to the intersessional period between the thirty-eighth and thirty-ninth meetings of the Board, and the process of screening and technical review undertaken by the secretariat.

2. In advance of the intersessional thirty-eighth and thirty-ninth project and programme review cycle, the secretariat received proposals for both single-country proposals as well as regional proposals as encouraged by Decision B.26/3, and as observed in Decisions B.27/5 and B.31/3, and reviewed them, as detailed further below.

3. At its twenty-third meeting, the Adaptation Fund Board (the Board) discussed a recommendation made by the Project and Programme Review Committee (PPRC) of the Board, on arranging intersessional review of project and programme proposals. Having considered the comments and recommendation of the PPRC, the Board decided to:

- (a) *Arrange one intersessional project/programme review cycle annually, during an intersessional period of 24 weeks or more between two consecutive Board meetings, as outlined in document AFB/PPRC.14/13;*
- (b) *While recognizing that any proposal can be submitted to regular meetings of the Board, require that all first submissions of concepts and fully-developed project/programme documents continue to be considered in regular meetings of the PPRC;*
- (c) *Request the secretariat to review, during such intersessional review cycles, resubmissions of project/programme concepts and fully-developed project/programme documents submitted on time by proponents for consideration during such intersessional review cycles;*
- (d) *Request the PPRC to consider intersessionally the technical review of such proposals as prepared by the secretariat and to make intersessional recommendations to the Board;*
- (e) *Consider such intersessionally reviewed proposals for intersessional approval in accordance with the Rules of Procedure;*
- (f) *Inform implementing entities and other stakeholders about the new arrangement by sending a letter to this effect, and make the calendar of upcoming regular and intersessional review cycles available on the Adaptation Fund website and arrange the first such cycle between the twenty-third and twenty-fourth meetings of the Board;*
- (g) *Request the PPRC to defer to the next Board meeting any matters related to the competencies of the Ethics and Finance Committee that may come up during the intersessional review of projects/programmes and to refrain from making a recommendation on such proposals until the relevant matters are addressed; and*
- (h) *Request the secretariat to present, in the fifteenth meeting of the PPRC, and annually following each intersessional review cycle, an analysis of the intersessional review cycle.*

(Decision B.23/15)

4. At the twenty-fifth Board meeting, the secretariat had requested the Board to consider whether the rules in the intersessional project review cycle could be made more accommodating, with a view to speeding up the process. The Board subsequently decided to:

- (a) *Amend Decision B.23/15 and require that all first submissions of concepts under the two-step approval process and all first submissions of fully-developed project/programme documents under the one-step process continue to be considered in regular meetings of the Project and Programme Review Committee (PPRC);*
- (b) *Request the secretariat to review, during its inter-sessional review cycles:*
 - (i) *First submissions of fully-developed project/programme documents for which the concepts had already been considered in regular meetings of the PPRC and subsequently endorsed by the Board;*
 - (ii) *Resubmissions of project/programme concepts and resubmissions of fully-developed project/programme documents;*
- (c) *Request the PPRC to consider intersessionally the technical review of such proposals as prepared by the secretariat and to make intersessional recommendations to the Board;*
- (d) *Consider such intersessionally reviewed proposals for intersessional approval in accordance with the Rules of Procedure; and*
- (e) *Inform implementing entities and other stakeholders about the updated arrangement by sending a letter to this effect, and make effective such amendment as of the first day of the review cycle between the twenty-fifth and twenty-sixth meetings of the Board.*

(Decision B.25/2)

Current single country cap

5. At the thirty-sixth Board meeting, At the thirty-sixth meeting, having considered the analysis contained in document AFB/B.36/5, the Adaptation Fund Board (the Board) decided:

- (a) *To revise the cap per country established by decision B.13/23 from US\$ 10 million to US\$ 20 million for all eligible developing country Parties, so that any Party could access a total of up to US\$ 20 million from the Adaptation Fund once it had accessed funding amounting to at least US\$ 8 million for concrete single-country adaptation projects or programmes or once four years had passed since approval of the first concrete single-country adaptation project(s)/(programme(s) by the Board, whichever occurred earlier;*
- (b) *To set a maximum level of US\$ 10 million for an individual funding request for single country concrete adaptation projects, provided that lower maximum levels could be set by the Board in specific circumstances, such as in the case of national implementing entities accredited through the streamlined process;*
- (c) *To maintain the processes already put in place for the allocation of funding for regional projects and programmes, i.e., the provision on an annual basis (fiscal year) of a specific amount for the funding of regional project and programme proposals and the pipeline established through decision B.31/3;*

(d) To assess implications of decision B.36/41 three years after the thirty-sixth meeting of the Board, taking into consideration resource availability, equitable access to funds, accreditation progress and programmatic development of the Fund;

(e) To inform the designated authorities and accredited implementing entities of this decision.

(Decision B.36/41)

6. At this time, no country has exhausted the funding available under the country cap as per Decision B.36/41. The specific amount currently available to each country depends on the conditions set in subparagraph (a).

Funding status under the MIE cap

7. At the twelfth meeting, the Board instituted a cap of 50 per cent for project funds directed through Multilateral Implementing Entities (MIEs), having decided:

(a) That the cumulative budget allocation for funding projects submitted by MIEs, should not exceed 50 per cent of the total funds available for funding decisions in the Adaptation Fund Trust Fund at the start of each session. That cumulative allocation would be subject to review by the Board on the recommendation of the Project and Programme Review Committee at subsequent sessions;

(b) To request the Trustee to provide an update on the amount of funds that have been approved for projects implemented by NIEs and MIEs at each meeting of the Adaptation Fund Board; and

(c) To review the implementation of this decision at the fourteenth meeting of the Adaptation Fund Board.

(Decision B.12/9)

8. In its seventeenth meeting, having considered the recommendation of the Ethics and Finance Committee (EFC), the Board decided to:

(a) Maintain the 50 per cent cap on the funding of projects/programmes implemented by MIEs established by decision B.12/9, and exclude project/programme concepts from the 50 per cent calculation; [...]

(Decision B.17/19)

9. According to the latest Financial Report prepared by the Trustee as of 31 March 2022, the cumulative funding decisions for all projects/programmes amounted to US\$ 923.51 million and funds available to support AF Board funding decisions amounted to US\$ 229.39 million. The cumulative funding decisions for projects/programmes submitted by MIEs amounted to US\$ 582.17 million. The Board had instituted, through decision B.12/9, a cap of 50 per cent for projects/programmes submitted by MIEs. Some categories of proposals submitted by MIEs had been excluded from counting towards the 50 per cent, and the cumulative funding decisions for MIE projects/programmes that counted towards it amounted to US\$ 569.69 million. Therefore, the funds available below the 50 per cent cap currently amount to US\$ 8.63 million.

Funding Window for Regional Projects and Programmes

10. Since its inception and until March 2017, the Adaptation Fund Board had only approved projects and programmes implemented in individual countries. At its twenty-fifth meeting, the Board considered a proposal for a pilot programme on regional projects and programmes, and decided to:

- (a) *Approve the pilot programme on regional projects and programmes, as contained in document AFB/B.25/6/Rev.2;*
- (b) *Set a cap of US\$ 30 million for the programme;*
- (c) *Request the secretariat to issue a call for regional project and programme proposals for consideration by the Board in its twenty-sixth meeting; [...]*

(Decision B.25/28)

11. In accordance with the decision B.25/28 and the document AFB/B.25/6/Rev.2, the secretariat had issued, on 5 May 2015, an invitation to submit project and programme proposals for funding under the pilot programme. The invitation was sent to Designated Authorities for the Adaptation Fund, and to Multilateral and Regional Implementing Entities (RIEs) accredited by the Board.

12. The Board decided, at its twenty-sixth meeting,

[...] to request the secretariat to inform the Multilateral Implementing Entities and Regional Implementing Entities that the call for proposals under the Pilot programme for Regional Projects and Programmes is still open and to encourage them to submit proposals to the AFB at its 27th meeting, bearing in mind the cap established by decision B.25/28.

(Decision B.26/3)

13. The Board considered, at its twenty-seventh meeting, issues related to the pilot programme on regional projects and programmes and decided to:

- (a) *Continue consideration of regional project and programme proposals under the pilot programme, while reminding the implementing entities that the amount set aside for the pilot programme is US\$ 30 million;*
- (b) *Request the secretariat to prepare for consideration by the Project and Programme Review Committee at its nineteenth meeting, a proposal for prioritization among regional project/programme proposals, including for awarding project formulation grants, and for establishment of a pipeline; and*
- (c) *Consider the matter of the pilot programme for regional projects and programmes at its twenty-eighth meeting.*

(Decision B.27/5)

14. The proposal requested in (b) above was presented to the nineteenth meeting of the PPRC as document AFB/PPRC.19/5. The Board subsequently decided:

- (a) *With regard to the pilot programme approved by decision B.25/28:*

- (i) *To prioritize the four projects and 10 project formulation grants as follows:*
 - 1. *If the proposals recommended to be funded in a given meeting of the PPRC do not exceed the available slots under the pilot programme, all those proposals would be submitted to the Board for funding;*
 - 2. *If the proposals recommended to be funded in a given meeting of the PPRC do exceed the available slots under the pilot programme, the proposals to be funded under the pilot programme would be prioritized so that the total number of projects and project formulation grants (PFGs) under the programme maximizes the total diversity of projects/PFGs. This would be done using a three-tier prioritization system: so that the proposals in relatively less funded sectors would be prioritized as the first level of prioritization. If there are more than one proposal in the same sector: the proposals in relatively less funded regions are prioritized as the second level of prioritization. If there are more than one proposal in the same region, the proposals submitted by relatively less represented implementing entity would be prioritized as the third level of prioritization;*
- (ii) *To request the secretariat to report on the progress and experiences of the pilot programme to the PPRC at its twenty-third meeting; and*

(b) *With regards to financing regional proposals beyond the pilot programme referred to above:*

- (i) *To continue considering regional proposals for funding, within the two categories originally described in document AFB/B.25/6/Rev.2: ones requesting up to US\$ 14 million, and others requesting up to US\$ 5 million, subject to review of the regional programme;*
- (ii) *To establish two pipelines for technically cleared regional proposals: one for proposals up to US\$ 14 million and the other for proposals up to US\$ 5 million, and place any technically cleared regional proposals, in those pipelines, in the order described in decision B.17/19 (their date of recommendation by the PPRC, their submission date, their lower “net” cost); and*
- (iii) *To fund projects from the two pipelines, using funds available for the respective types of implementing entities, so that the maximum number of or maximum total funding for projects and project formulation grants to be approved each fiscal year will be outlined at the time of approving the annual work plan of the Board.*

(Decision B.28/1)

13. At the twenty-ninth meeting, having considered the comments and recommendation of the Project and Programme Review Committee, the Adaptation Fund Board (the Board) decided:

- (a) *To include in its work plan for fiscal year 2018 a program of work amounting to US\$ 30 million for the funding of regional project and programme proposals, as follows:*
 - (i) *Up to three proposals requesting up to US\$ 5 million for funding;*
 - (ii) *One proposal requesting up to US\$ 14 million of funding;*

- (iii) *Up to five project formulation grant (PFG) requests, of up to US\$ 100,000 each, for preparing project and programme concepts or fully-developed project documents requesting up to US\$ 5 million of funding;*
- (iv) *Up to five project formulation grant (PFG) requests, of up to US\$ 100,000 each, for preparing project and programme concepts or fully-developed project documents requesting up to US\$ 14 million of funding.*

(Decision B.29/4)

15. At its thirty-first meeting, the Board subsequently decided:

- (a) *To merge the two pipelines for technically cleared regional proposals established in decision B.28/1(b)(ii), so that starting in fiscal year 2019 the provisional amount of funding for regional proposals would be allocated without distinction between the two categories originally described in document AFB/B.25/6/Rev.2, and that the funding of regional proposals would be established on a 'first come, first served' basis; and*
- (b) *To include in its work programme for fiscal year 2019 provision of an amount of US\$ 60 million for the funding of regional project and programme proposals, as follows:*
 - (i) *Up to US\$ 59 million to be used for funding regional project and programme proposals in the two categories of regional projects and programmes: ones requesting up to US\$ 14 million, and others requesting up to US\$ 5 million; and*
 - (ii) *Up to US\$ 1 million for funding project formulation grant requests for preparing regional project and programme concepts or fully-developed project and programme documents.*

(Decision B.31/3)

14. For the fiscal year 2020, the decision was taken by the Board to approve the same amounts as for the year 2019 (decision B.33/12).

15. Subsequently, for the fiscal year 2021, given the extraordinary set of circumstances brought on by the pandemic, having considered the proposal by the secretariat as contained in document AFB/PPRC.26.a-26.b/22, and the recommendation by the Project and Programme Review Committee, the Adaptation Fund Board decided to:

- (a) *Include in its work plan for fiscal year 2021 the provision for an amount of US\$ 30 million to be provisionally set aside for the funding of regional project and programme proposals, including project formulation grant requests for preparing regional project and programme concept or fully-developed project documents;*
- (b) *Consider the need for additional funding for regional project and programme proposals in the second half of fiscal year 2021.*

(Decision B.35.a-35.b/75)

16. Having considered the recommendation of the Project and Programme Review Committee, the Adaptation Fund Board decided to include in its work programme for fiscal year 2022 a provision for an amount of US\$ 60 million, to be provisionally set aside as follows:

- (a) Up to US\$ 59 million for the funding of regional project and programme proposals;*
- (b) Up to US\$ 1 million for the funding of project formulation grant requests for preparing regional project and programme concept or fully-developed project documents.*

(Decision B.36/1)

17. Having considered the recommendation of the Project and Programme Review Committee, the Adaptation Fund Board decided to revise the country cap as per the analysis contained in document AFB/B.36/5, increasing the country cap as follows:

- (a) To revise the cap per country established by decision B.13/23 from US\$ 10 million to US\$ 20 million for all eligible developing country Parties, so that any Party could access a total of up to US\$ 20 million from the Adaptation Fund once it had accessed funding amounting to at least US\$ 8 million for concrete single-country adaptation projects or programmes or once four years had passed since approval of the first concrete single-country adaptation project(s)/(programme(s) by the Board, whichever occurred earlier;*
- (b) To set a maximum level of US\$ 10 million for an individual funding request for single country concrete adaptation projects, provided that lower maximum levels could be set by the Board in specific circumstances, such as in the case of national implementing entities accredited through the streamlined process;*
- (c) To maintain the processes already put in place for the allocation of funding for regional projects and programmes, i.e., the provision on an annual basis (fiscal year) of a specific amount for the funding of regional project and programme proposals and the pipeline established through decision B.31/3;*
- (d) To assess implications of decision B.36/41 three years after the thirty-sixth meeting of the Board, taking into consideration resource availability, equitable access to funds, accreditation progress and programmatic development of the Fund;*
- (e) To inform the designated authorities and accredited implementing entities of this decision.*

(Decision B.36/41)

18. Details of the accumulated funding allocations under the Funding Window for regional projects and programmes per Board decision are displayed below:

| Fiscal year | AF Board Decision | Amount in millions (USD) |
|-------------|-------------------|--------------------------|
| 2015 | B.25/28 | 30.00 |
| 2018 | B.29/4 | 30.00 |
| 2019 | B.31/3 | 60.00 |
| 2020 | B.33/12 | 60.00 |
| 2021 | B.35a-35b/75 | 30.00 |
| 2022 | B.36/1 | 60.00 |
| 2023 | B.38/5 | 60.00 |

Remaining Funds Available under the Funding Window for Regional Projects and Programmes

19. The total amount funded for regional projects and programmes in the fiscal year 2022 to date is US\$ 39,941,038. Accordingly, the amount of funding currently remaining from the amount approved for the 2022 provision for regional programming is US\$ 20,058,962 and there are no regional projects or programmes currently on the waitlist.

20. For this intersessional cycle, the secretariat again received proposals for regional projects and programmes as encouraged by decision B.26/3, and as observed in decisions B.27/5 and B.31/3, and reviewed them, as explained further below.

Project/programme proposals submitted by implementing entities: single-country proposals

21. Accredited implementing entities submitted three (3) eligible single-country project proposals to the secretariat, with the total requested funding amounting to US\$ 24,000,000 (excluding project formulation grants). The requested funding of the fully-developed project included US\$ 921,717 or 4.95%¹ in Implementing Entities management fees and US\$ 1,596,980 or 8.40%² in execution costs.

22. Of the three projects, two (2) are fully-developed project proposals. All three project proposals were submitted by National Implementing Entities of the Fund; Banque Agricole du Niger (BAGRI), Fonds Interprofessionnel pour la Recherche et le Conseil Agricoles (FIRCA), and Fundecooperación para el Desarrollo Sostenible (Fundecooperación).

¹ The implementing entity management fee percentage is calculated compared to the project budget including the project activities and the execution costs, before the management fee.

² The execution costs percentage is calculated as a percentage of the project budget, including the project activities and the execution costs, before the implementing entity management fee.

23. All the proposals are in compliance with Board decision B.11/16 to cap management fees at 8.5%. In accordance with the same decision B.11/16, all proponents of fully-developed project documents provide a budget on fee use.

24. All of the proposals are in compliance with Board Decision B.13/17 to cap execution costs at 9.5% of the project/programme budget.

25. All submissions for single countries request funding below the cap available to each country, as per decision B.13/23 and decision B.36/41 on the *Provision of financial resources between single-country and regional concrete adaptation project and programmes (country cap)* -see table below.

26. All of the fully-developed project/programme documents provide an explanation and a breakdown of their execution costs and other administrative costs, and are in compliance with the following Board Decision made in the twelfth meeting:

- (b) *To request to the implementing entities that the project document include an explanation and a breakdown of all administrative costs associated with the project, including the execution costs.*

(Decision B.12/7)

27. Details of the single-country proposals are contained in the separate PPRC working documents, as follows:

| 1. Full Proposals: Single-country | Country | IE | PPRC Document number | Funding Remaining (under Country Cap) |
|--|----------------|------------------|---------------------------------|--|
| NIE | | | | |
| | Costa Rica | Fundecooperación | AFB/PPRC.29-30/5 | 10,030,000 |
| | Niger | BAGRI | AFB/PPRC.29-30/6 | 10,089,000 |
| 2. Concepts: Single- country | Country | IE | PPRC Document number | Funding Remaining (under Country Cap) |
| NIE | | | | |
| | Côte d'Ivoire* | FIRCA | AFB/PPRC.29-30/7 | 4,000,000 |

* Additional \$10 M will be available when conditions stipulated in Decision B.36/41 are fulfilled.

Project/programme proposals submitted by implementing entities: regional proposals

28. Accredited IEs submitted to the secretariat five (5) eligible proposals for regional projects and programmes. The total requested funding of those proposals amounted to US\$ 56,698,896 (excluding project formulation grants). Two (2) of the five proposals were fully-developed projects. The total requested funding for the fully-developed regional proposal included US\$ 918,307 or 4.93% in Implementing Entities' management fees and US\$ 1,599,400 or 8.41% in execution costs.

29. The proposals were submitted by three MIEs: International Fund for Agricultural Development (IFAD), United Nations Educational, Scientific, and Cultural Organization (UNESCO), and World Meteorological Organization (WMO), and two RIEs: Central American Bank for Economic Integration (CABEI) and Observatoire du Sahara et du Sahel (OSS). Details of the regional proposals are contained in the separate PPRC working documents, as follows:

| 4. Full Proposals: Regional | Region/Countries | IE | PPRC Document number |
|--|--|-----------|---------------------------------|
| RIE | | | |
| | Belize, Guatemala, Honduras | CABEI | AFB/PPRC.29-30/8 |
| MIE | | | |
| | Cambodia, Lao (People's Democratic Republic), Thailand, Viet Nam | UNESCO | AFB/PPRC.29-30/9 |
| 5. Concepts: Regional | Region/Countries | IE | PPRC Document number |
| RIE | | | |
| | Benin, Togo | OSS | AFB/PPRC.29-30/10 |
| MIE | | | |
| | Botswana, Malawi, Mozambique, South Africa, Zambia, Zimbabwe | IFAD | AFB/PPRC.29-30/11 |
| | Cameroon, Central African Republic, Chad, Niger, Nigeria | WMO | AFB/PPRC.29-30/12 |

The review process

30. In accordance with the operational policies and guidelines, the secretariat screened and prepared technical reviews of the 8 project and programme proposals.

31. In line with the Board request at its tenth meeting, the secretariat shared the initial technical review findings with the Implementing Entities that had submitted the proposals and solicited their responses to specific items requiring clarification. Responses were requested by e-mail, and the time allowed for the Implementing Entities to respond was one week. The Implementing Entities were offered the opportunity to discuss the initial review findings with the secretariat by telephone as is the usual practice.

32. The secretariat subsequently reviewed the IEs' responses to the clarification requests, and compiled comments and recommendations that are presented in the addendum to this document (AFB/PPRC.29-30/4/Add.1).

33. The secretariat initially received three additional submissions that were either withdrawn by the entities or deemed ineligible by the secretariat. Of these, there was one single-country fully-developed proposal by an MIE which was withdrawn after receiving the first technical review. One regional pre-concept and one regional concept could not be considered as they were missing valid LOEs.

Table: Project proposals submitted to the intersessional cycle between the thirty-eighth and thirty-ninth Adaptation Fund Board meetings (AFB 38-39)

| 1. Full Proposals: Single-country | Country | IE | PPRC Document number | Grant Size, USD | IE Fee, USD | IE Fee % | Execution Cost, USD | EC % |
|-----------------------------------|--|------------------|----------------------|-------------------|------------------|--------------|---------------------|--------------|
| NIE | | | | | | | | |
| | Costa Rica | Fundecooperación | AFB/PPRC.29-30/5 | 10,000,000 | 783,410 | 8.50% | 857,580 | 9.30% |
| | Niger | BAGRI | AFB/PPRC.29-30/6 | 10,000,000 | 138,307 | 1.40% | 739,400 | 7.50% |
| Sub-total, USD | | | | 20,000,000 | 921,717 | 4.95% | 1,596,980 | 8.40% |
| 2. Concepts: Single-country | Country | IE | PPRC Document number | Grant Size, USD | IE Fee, USD | IE Fee % | Execution Cost, USD | EC % |
| NIE | | | | | | | | |
| | Côte d'Ivoire | FIRCA | AFB/PPRC.29-30/7 | 4,000,000 | 313,364 | 8.50% | 319,845 | 8.68% |
| Sub-total, USD | | | | 4,000,000 | 313,364 | 8.50% | 319,845 | 8.68% |
| 4. Full Proposals: Regional | Region/Countries | IE | PPRC Document number | Grant Size, USD | IE Fee, USD | IE Fee % | Execution Cost, USD | EC % |
| RIE | | | | | | | | |
| | Belize, Guatemala, Honduras | CABEI | AFB/PPRC.29-30/8 | 13,248,121 | 1,037,871 | 8.50% | 1,160,250 | 9.50% |
| MIE | | | | | | | | |
| | Cambodia, Lao (People's Democratic Republic), Thailand, Viet Nam | UNESCO | AFB/PPRC.29-30/9 | 4,898,775 | 362,872 | 8.00% | 374,524 | 8.26% |
| Sub-total, USD | | | | 18,146,896 | 1,400,743 | 8.25% | 1,534,774 | 8.88% |
| 5. Concepts: Regional | Region/Countries | IE | PPRC Document number | Grant Size, USD | IE Fee, USD | IE Fee % | Execution Cost, USD | EC % |
| RIE | | | | | | | | |
| | Benin, Togo | OSS | AFB/PPRC.29-30/10 | 14,000,000 | 1,100,000 | 8.53% | 1,050,000 | 8.14% |
| MIE | | | | | | | | |
| | Botswana, Malawi, Mozambique, South Africa, Zambia, Zimbabwe | IFAD | AFB/PPRC.29-30/11 | 13,932,000 | 1,032,000 | 8.00% | 645,500 | 5.00% |
| | Cameroon, Central African Republic, Chad, Niger, Nigeria | WMO | AFB/PPRC.29-30/12 | 10,620,000 | 765,000 | 7.76% | 855,000 | 8.68% |
| Sub-total, USD | | | | 38,552,000 | 2,897,000 | 8.10% | 2,550,500 | 7.27% |

| 3. Project Formulation Grant: Single-country concept | Country | IE | PPRC Document number | Grant Size, USD |
|--|--|-------|-------------------------|-----------------|
| NIE | | | | |
| | Côte d'Ivoire | FIRCA | AFB/PPRC.29-30/7/Add.1 | 50,000 |
| Sub-total, USD | | | | 50,000 |
| 6. Project Formulation Grants: Regional Concepts | Region/Countries | IE | PPRC Document number | Grant Size, USD |
| RIE | | | | |
| | Benin, Togo | OSS | AFB/PPRC.29-30/10/Add.1 | 80,000 |
| MIE | | | | |
| | Cameroon, Central African Republic, Chad, Niger, Nigeria | WMO | AFB/PPRC.29-30/12/Add.1 | 80,000 |
| Sub-total, USD | | | | 160,000 |

ANNEX

Table: Approved Regional Projects and Programmes

| Project Number | Project Title | Country | IE | Project Status | Approved Amount in USD | Approval Date |
|----------------|--|--|--------|----------------------|------------------------|---------------|
| AF0000077 | Agricultural Climate Resilience Enhancement Initiative (ACREI) | Regional (Ethiopia, Kenya, Uganda) | WMO | Under Implementation | 6,800,000.00 | 3/17/17 |
| AF0000081 | Adapting to Climate Change in Lake Victoria Basin | Regional (Burundi, Kenya, Rwanda, Tanzania, United Republic of, Uganda) | UNEP | Under Implementation | 5,000,000.00 | 7/5/17 |
| AF0000089 | Building adaptive capacity through food and nutrition security and peacebuilding actions in vulnerable Afro and indigenous communities in the Colombia-Ecuador border area | Regional (Colombia, Ecuador) | UNWFP | Under Implementation | 14,000,000.00 | 7/5/17 |
| AF0000078 | Promoting Climate-Smart Agriculture in West Africa | Regional (Benin, Burkina Faso, Ghana, Niger, Togo) | BOAD | Under Implementation | 14,000,000.00 | 7/16/18 |
| AF0000080 | Reducing climate vulnerability and flood risk in coastal urban and semi urban areas in cities in Latin America | Regional (Chile, Ecuador) | CAFVE | Under Implementation | 13,910,400.00 | 7/16/18 |
| AF0000083 | Restoring marine ecosystem services by rehabilitating coral reefs to meet a changing climate future | Regional (Mauritius, Seychelles) | UNDP | Under Implementation | 10,000,000.00 | 10/12/18 |
| AF0000122 | Integrating Flood and Drought Management and Early Warning for Climate Change Adaptation in the Volta Basin | Regional (Benin, Burkina Faso, Côte d'Ivoire, Ghana, Mali, Togo) | WMO | Under Implementation | 7,920,000.00 | 10/12/18 |
| AF0000126 | Integrated climate-resilient transboundary flood risk management in the Drin River basin in the Western Balkans | Regional (Albania, Macedonia, the former Yugoslav Republic of, Montenegro) | UNDP | Under Implementation | 9,927,750.00 | 3/15/19 |
| AF0000124 | Enhancing adaptive capacity of Andean communities through climate services | Regional (Chile, Colombia, Peru) | WMO | Under Implementation | 7,432,250.00 | 7/8/19 |
| AF0000109 | Building Urban Climate Resilience in South-eastern Africa | Regional (Comoros, Madagascar, Malawi, Mozambique) | UNHAB | Under Implementation | 13,997,423.00 | 7/15/19 |
| AF0000111 | Integration of climate change adaptation measures in the concerted management of the WAP transboundary complex: ADAPT-WAP | Regional (Benin, Burkina Faso, Niger) | OSS | Under Implementation | 11,536,200.00 | 7/15/19 |
| AF0000118 | Climate change adaptation in vulnerable coastal cities and ecosystems of the Uruguay River | Regional (Argentina, Uruguay) | CAFVE | Under Implementation | 13,999,996.00 | 7/15/19 |
| AF0000119 | Strengthening drought resilience of small holder farmers and pastoralists in the IGAD region | Regional (Djibouti, Kenya, Sudan, Uganda) | OSS | Under Implementation | 13,079,540.00 | 10/11/19 |
| AF0000092 | Reducing vulnerabilities of populations in the Central Asia region from glacier lake outburst floods in changing climate | Regional (Kazakhstan, Kyrgyzstan, Tajikistan, Uzbekistan) | UNESCO | Under Implementation | 6,500,000.00 | 10/15/20 |
| AF0000166 | Increasing the resilience of both displaced persons and host communities to climate change-related water challenges in Jordan and Lebanon | Regional (Jordan, Lebanon) | UNHAB | Proposal Approved | 13,973,509.00 | 3/11/21 |
| AF0000205 | Mekong Eba South: Enhancing Climate Resilience in the Greater Mekong Subregion through Ecosystem based Adaptation in the Context of South-South Cooperation | Regional (Thailand, Vietnam) | UNEP | Proposal Approved | 7,000,000.00 | 3/11/21 |
| AF0000188 | Resilience building as climate change adaptation in drought-struck South-western African communities | Regional (Angola, Namibia) | OSS | Proposal Approved | 11,941,038.00 | 7/26/21 |
| AF0000190 | Scaling-up Climate-resilient Rice Production in West Africa | Regional (Benin, Burkina Faso, Côte d'Ivoire, Gambia, Ghana, Guinea, Liberia, Mali, Niger, Nigeria, Senegal, Sierra Leone, Togo) | OSS | Proposal Approved | 14,000,000.00 | 10/21/21 |
| AF0000248 | Strengthening Resilience to Climate and Covid-19 Shocks through Integrated Water Management on the Sudan – Chad Border Area (SCCIWM) | Chad, Sudan | FAO | Proposal Approved | 14,000,000.00 | 5/2/22 |
| TOTAL | | | | | 209,018,106.00 | |