



ADAPTATION FUND

AFB/PPRC.22/2
8 March 2018

Adaptation Fund Board
Project and Programme Review Committee
Twenty-Second Meeting
Bonn, Germany, 20-21 March 2018

ANNOTATED PROVISIONAL AGENDA

1. Opening of the meeting

The outgoing Chair of the Project and Programme Review Committee (PPRC) Ms. Monika Antosik (Poland, Eastern Europe), will open the meeting.

2. Transition of the Chair and the Vice-Chair

Background: The Adaptation Fund Board (the Board) will meet on 20 March 2018 before the meeting of the PPRC to elect the Chair and Vice Chair of the PPRC, for a term beginning at the twenty-second meeting of the PPRC and ending at the first meeting of 2019.

Action expected: The outgoing Chair will hand over the chairmanship of the PPRC to the incoming Chair.

3. Organizational matters

a) Adoption of the agenda

Documents: AFB/PPRC.22/1 Provisional agenda and AFB/PPRC.22/2 Annotated provisional agenda.

Action expected: The PPRC will be invited to adopt the agenda for the meeting and to raise any other matters it may wish to discuss, to be included on the agenda under item 12.

b) Organization of work

Documents: AFB/PPRC.22/1 Provisional agenda and AFB/PPRC.22/2 Annotated provisional agenda.

Background: The Chair will propose to the PPRC the organization of work.

Action expected: The PPRC will be invited to approve the organization of work as proposed by the Chair.

4. Update on the funding status

Document: None.

Background: Following new contributions made in the thirteenth session of the Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Kyoto Protocol, the funding status of the Fund has progressed.

Action expected: the secretariat will give an update of the availability of funds to fund project and programme proposals, including proposals to be submitted by Multilateral Implementing Entities (MIEs) and funding available for regional projects and programmes and readiness grants.

5. Report of the secretariat on the intersessional review cycle of readiness proposals

Document: AFB/PPRC.22/3. Report of the secretariat on the intersessional review cycle for readiness grants

Background: At its twenty-eighth meeting, the Board had discussed a recommendation by the Project and Programme Review Committee (PPRC) of the Board to establish a standing rule following on decision B.26/28 on the intersessional project review cycle for grants under the Readiness Programme to allow for continued review and approval of readiness grant proposals intersessionally each year. Having considered the comments and recommendation of the Project and Programme Review Committee, the Board decided to:

- a) *Request the secretariat to continue to review readiness grant proposals annually, during an intersessional period of less than 24 weeks between two consecutive Board meetings;*
- b) *Notwithstanding the request in paragraph (a) above, recognize that any readiness grant proposal can be submitted to regular meetings of the Board;*
- c) *Request the PPRC to consider intersessionally the technical review of such readiness grant proposals as prepared by the secretariat and to make intersessional recommendations to the Board;*
- d) *Consider such intersessionally reviewed proposals for intersessional approval in accordance with the Rules of Procedure; and*
- e) *Request the secretariat to present, in the twentieth meeting of the PPRC, and annually following each intersessional review cycle, an analysis of the intersessional review cycle.*

(Decision B.28/30)

Pursuant to decision B.28/30 (e), the secretariat has prepared and submitted to the PPRC document AFB/PPRC.22/3 which analyses the last intersessional review cycle of readiness grants.

Action expected: The secretariat will present the document AFB/PPRC.22/3. The PPRC may want to discuss the report and take note of the report or, if it wishes to, make a recommendation to the Board on the way forward.

6. Request for change of programme outcome, output and related indicators: Jamaica (PIOJ).

Document: AFB/PPRC.22/4. Proposal for Jamaica (PIOJ)

Background: The proposal was approved by the Board at its eighteenth meeting and the programme has started implementation since November 2012. Following issues during implementation, the programme steering committee decided to cancel the activities under component 1 of the programme and PIOJ has submitted a request for change of programme's outcome, outputs and related indicators. The request came with a revised proposal (Document AFB/PPRC.22/4).

Action expected: the secretariat will present its technical review of document AFB/PPRC.22/4 to the PPRC, and the PPRC may want to discuss the recommendation made by the secretariat on the revised proposal.

7. Report of the secretariat on initial screening/technical review of project and programme proposals.

Documents: AFB/PPRC.22/5 Report of the secretariat on initial screening/technical review of the project and programme proposals and AFB/PPRC.22/5/Add.1

Background: The secretariat has received twenty project/programme proposals, which were screened and technically reviewed. The report contained in document AFB/PPRC.22/5 presents a summary of the proposals and the review process.

Action expected: The PPRC will be invited to take note of the report on the initial review of the proposals contained in document AFB/PPRC.22/5 and to make recommendations to the Board if necessary.

8. Review of project and programme proposals

Documents: AFB/PPRC.22/5 Report of the secretariat on initial screening/technical review of the project and programme proposals and AFB/PPRC.22/5/Add.1

Concepts

Proposals from NIEs:

Regular proposals:

AFB/PPRC.22/6 Proposal for Armenia (EPIU) and AFB/PPRC.22/6/Add.1

Proposals from MIEs:

Regular proposals:

AFB/PPRC.22/7 Proposal for Lesotho (WFP)

AFB/PPRC.22/8 Proposal for Mozambique (AfDB)

AFB/PPRC.22/9 Proposal for Uganda (AfDB)

Fully-developed project/programme documents

Proposals from NIEs:

Small-size proposals:

AFB/PPRC.22/10 Proposal for Federated States of Micronesia (MCT)

Regular proposals:

AFB/PPRC.22/11 Proposal for Cook Islands (MFEM)

Proposals from RIEs:

Regular proposals:

AFB/PPRC.22/12 Proposal for Ecuador (CAF)

AFB/PPRC.22/13 Proposal for Togo (BOAD)

Proposals from MIEs:

Regular proposals:

AFB/PPRC.22/14 Proposal for Cambodia (UN-Habitat)

AFB/PPRC.22/15 Proposal for Cameroon (IFAD)

AFB/PPRC.22/16 Proposal for Iraq (IFAD)

AFB/PPRC.22/17 Proposal for Mongolia (UN-Habitat)

9. Review of regional projects and programmes proposals

Pre-Concepts

Proposals from MIEs:

AFB/PPRC.22/18 Proposal for Albania, the Former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia and Montenegro (UNDP).

AFB/PPRC.22/19 Proposal for Belize and Guatemala (UN Environment)
AFB/PPRC.22/20 Proposal for Chile, Colombia and Peru (WMO) and
AFB/PPRC.22/20/Add.1

Concepts

Proposals from RIEs:

AFB/PPRC.22/21 Proposal for Argentina and Uruguay (CAF) and
AFB/PPRC.22/21/Add.1.

Proposals from MIEs:

AFB/PPRC.22/22 Proposal for Benin, Burkina Faso, Cote d'Ivoire, Ghana, Mali
and Togo (WMO) and AFB/PPRC.22/22/Add.1

AFB/PPRC.22/23 Proposal for Côte d'Ivoire and Ghana (UN-Habitat) and
AFB/PPRC.22/23/Add.1

- Fully-developed project/programme documents:

Proposal from RIE:

AFB/PPRC.22/24 Proposal for Chile and Ecuador (CAF)

10. Update on the scope of application of the full cost of adaptation reasoning criterion

Document: AFB/PPRC.22/25. Update on the scope of application of the full cost of adaptation reasoning criteria

Background: At its nineteenth meeting, the PPRC reviewed a proposal seeking to enhance capacity of agricultural Micro, Small and Medium Enterprises (MSMEs) and their resilience to climate change through the provision of financial and non-financial services. During the presentation of this proposal to the PPRC, the secretariat explained that one of the challenges with the proposal was that it presented some issues related to the mandate of the Fund, and to the necessary arrangements for this type of projects with co-financing.

The aforementioned proposal included activities that, if funded by the Adaptation Fund, would have been highly dependent on the implementation and delivery of other adaptation activities from a co-financed component of the project. As a result, there was a need to explore ways to address cases where the delivery of Fund-supported activities was dependent on delivery of co-financed adaptation activities.

Having considered the comments and recommendation of the PPRC, the Board subsequently decided to request the secretariat to prepare a proposal for consideration by the PPRC at its twentieth meeting clarifying the scope of application of the full cost of adaptation reasoning criterion (decision B.28/33).

Pursuant to decision B.28/33, the secretariat had developed document AFB/PPRC.20/4 that included a proposal for clarifying the scope of application of the full cost of adaptation reasoning criterion.

Having considered the comments and recommendation of the PPRC, the Adaptation Fund Board decided (Decision B.29/3):

(a) To reconfirm the definition of “full costs of adaptation” as stated in the Operational Policies and Guidelines (OPG); and

(b) To request the secretariat, taking into account the orientations provided by the medium-term strategy of the Adaptation Fund, to perform an analysis and collect lessons learned on how the full costs of adaptation has been applied by the Adaptation Fund and make recommendation on the way forward including potential further conceptual development of applying the full costs of adaptation, for consideration of the PPRC at its twenty-second meeting.

Pursuant to decision B.29/3, the secretariat had developed document AFB/PPRC.22/25 providing further update on the scope of application of the full cost of adaptation reasoning criterion.

Action expected: the secretariat will present document AFB/PPRC.22/25 to the PPRC, and the PPRC may want to discuss the document and consider recommending a way forward to the Board.

11. Cost-effectiveness of options for arranging post-implementation learning and impact evaluation of Adaptation Fund projects and programmes.

Document: AFB/PPRC.22/26 *Cost-effectiveness of options for arranging post-implementation learning and impact evaluation of Adaptation Fund projects and programmes*

Background: At its nineteenth meeting, the PPRC discussed the importance of the follow-up of projects and programmes once they have completed, including their post-implementation evaluation. Based on the discussion and subsequent PPRC recommendation, the Board decided to request the secretariat to propose, at the twentieth meeting of the PPRC, options for how post-implementation learning and impact evaluation could be arranged for Adaptation Fund projects and programmes, taking into account on-going discussions on the evaluation function of the Adaptation Fund, as well as Phase II of the evaluation (Decision B.28/32).

Pursuant to decision B.28/32, the secretariat had prepared document AFB/PPRC.20/30 which presented options for how ex-post evaluations of Adaptation Fund projects and programmes could be arranged.

Having considered the comments and recommendation of the PPRC, the Adaptation Fund Board decided (Decision B.29/29):

(a) *To request the secretariat:*

(i) *To undertake a revised analysis of the implications of options one and three for the ex-post assessment or evaluations of completed projects/programmes, as contained in document AFB/PPRC.20/30, taking into account:*

a. *The cost-effectiveness of the two options; and*

b. *The discussions during the twentieth and twenty-first meetings of the Ethics and Finance Committee on the evaluation function of the Adaptation Fund; and*

(ii) *To present the revised analysis to the Project and Programme Review Committee for its consideration at its twenty-second meeting.*

Action expected: the secretariat will present document AFB/PPRC.22/26 to the PPRC, and the PPRC may want to discuss the options presented in the document and consider recommending a way forward to the Board.

12. Other matters.

Action expected: The PPRC will be invited to discuss any other matters raised during the adoption of the agenda.

13. Adoption of the report

Document: The report of the PPRC will be prepared after the session on 21 March 2018.

Action expected: The PPRC Chair will report to the Board on the deliberations of the PPRC and on agreed recommendations to the Board.

14. Closure of the meeting

PROVISIONAL TIMETABLE

Day 1, 20 March 2018

[Board agenda item]

9:00 – 9:30 *Appointment of an Chair and Vice Chair of the Project and Programme Review Committee and an Vice Chair of the Ethics and Finance Committee*

Morning session

- | | | |
|---------------|----|--|
| 9:30 – 10:00 | 1 | Opening of the meeting |
| | 2. | Transition of the Chair and the Vice-Chair |
| | 3 | a) Adoption of the agenda |
| | 3 | b) Organization of work |
| 10:00 – 10:10 | 4 | Update on the funding status |
| 10:10 – 10:30 | 5 | Report of the secretariat on the intersessional review cycle of readiness grants |
| 10:30 – 10:45 | | <i>Coffee break</i> |
| 10:45 – 11:15 | 6 | Request for change of programme outcome, output and related indicators: Jamaica (PIOJ) |
| 11:15 – 12:00 | 7 | Report of the secretariat on initial screening/ technical review of project and programme proposals
Review of project and programme proposals |
| 12:00 – 12:30 | 8 | Review of project and programme proposals |

Afternoon Session

- | | | |
|---------------|---|---|
| 14:00 – 15:30 | 8 | Review of project and programme proposals (continued) |
| 15:30 – 15:45 | | <i>Coffee break</i> |
| 15:45 – 17:00 | 8 | Review of project and programme proposals (continued) |

Day 2, 21 March 2018*Morning Session*

9:00 – 11:00 9 Review of regional projects and programmes proposals

11:00 – 11:30 *Coffee break*

11:30 – 12:00 10 Update on the scope of application of the full cost of adaptation reasoning criteria

12:00 – 12:30 11 Cost-effectiveness of options for arranging post-implementation learning and impact evaluation of Adaptation Fund projects and programmes

Afternoon Session

14:00 – 14:30 12 Other matters

16:00 – 16:30 13 Adoption of the recommendations and report

16:30 – 16:45 14 Closure of the meeting.